Photoconductivity of Poly(vinyl Alcohol) Films with Fe³⁺ Complexes and ESR Study of their Structures

OSAO SUMITA, Hitachi Engineering Company, Hitachi-shi, Ibaragi 317, Japan, and ATSUO FUKUDA* and EIICHI KUZE, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Department of Textile and Polymeric Materials, O-okayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152, Japan

Synopsis

We have studied whether photoconductivity is observed in polymer films containing the first transition metal complexes. Polymers investigated were poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA), polyacrylamide, poly(acrylic acid), poly(vinyl pyrrolidone), and polyethylene glycol. Transition metal salts used were $CrCl_3$, $MnCl_2$, $FeCl_3$, $CoCl_2$, $NiCl_2$, $FeBr_3$, $Fe(NO_3)_3$, $Fe_2(SO_4)_3$, and $Fe(ClO_4)_3$. Only in the PVA-FeCl_3 film was relatively large photoconductivity due to the photoreduction of Fe^{3+} observed. ESR spectra indicate that an Fe^{3+} ion is chelated with two in-plane OH residues of PVA and coordinated with three Cl^- ions on the meridian. UV irradiation causes an electron transfer from Cl^- to Fe^{3+} . The produced chlorine atom extracts an electron from the oxygen of a neighboring OH residue. The unpaired electron thus formed can move from one oxygen to another through hydrogen bonding. In other words, the photoconductivity is due to holes produced in the network of PVA hydrogen bonding by the reduction of Fe^{3+} . Finally, we have tried to explain why the photoconductivity is observed only in the PVA-FeCl_3 film.

INTRODUCTION

In previous articles^{1,2} we have studied the structure of Cu^{2+} complexes in poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) and other analogous polymer films. The ESR study revealed that the polymer-Cu²⁺ complexes exhibit several structures. Main factors in determining the structure are copper salt used, the two ratios $F_1 =$ $[Cu^{2+}]/[MU]$ and $F_2 = [OH^-]/[Cu^{2+}]$, and the heat treatment of the films, where the brackets denote the concentrations and MU refers to the monomeric units of PVA, for example. Most of the Cu2+ ion is chelated by two OH residues and coordinated by two halide ions when CuCl₂ or CuBr₂ is used, the ratios are chosen as $F_1 > 0.015$ and $F_2 = 0$, and the film is dried at 80°C for 15 min. Since the Cu²⁺ ions are interacting by the superexchange interaction via the halide ions, there exists a network of the complexes. A hole generated by the electron transfer from halide ions to Cu²⁺, i.e., by the photoreduction of the metal ion, can move through the network. Hence, the photoconductivity is observed by illuminating the charge transfer bands. This is the first example of the photoconductivity due to the photoredox reaction of the polymer-metal complexes in PVA and other analogous polymer films.³

To find other examples, we have studied various combinations of polymers and the first transition metal salts. Polymers investigated are PVA, polyacrylamide (PAAm), poly(acrylic acid) (PAAc), poly(vinyl pyrrolidone) (PVPr), and polyethylene glycol (PEG); transition metal salts used are CrCl₃, MnCl₂,

Journal of Applied Polymer Science, Vol. 26, 1659–1674 (1981) © 1981 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. CCC 0021-8995/81/051659-16\$01.60

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed.

FeCl₃, CoCl₂, NiCl₂, FeBr₃, Fe(NO₃)₃, Fe₂(SO₄)₃, and Fe(ClO₄)₃. Only the combination of PVA and FeCl₃ is effective in producing the photoconductivity due to the photoredox reaction of the polymer-metal complexes. In the present article we report the characteristic features of the photoconductivity in the PVA-FeCl₃ film together with the optical absorption and ESR spectra of PVA-Fe³⁺ films prepared by using FeCl₃, FeBr₃, Fe(NO₃)₃, Fe₂(SO₄)₃, and Fe(ClO₄)₃, and of other analogous polymer-FeCl₃ films mentioned above. Optical absorption spectra are also presented for PVA films containing CrCl₃, MnCl₂, CoCl₂, and NiCl₂. Based on these experimental results, we propose a model for the mechanism of the photoconductivity and the structure of the PVA-Fe³⁺ complexes. We also try to explain why the photoconductivity due to the photoredox reaction is observed only in the PVA-FeCl₃ film among many other analogous films here investigated.

EXPERIMENTAL

Table I summarizes the polymers used, together with their manufacturers and the molecular weights. Films of the polymer-metal complexes were made as described in detail in the previous articles.¹⁻³ The MU concentration used for casting the films was 2.5% by weight. The films were dried in a desiccator at least for five days, being heated at the glass transition temperatures (about 80°C in PVA) for 15 min before each measurement. The same procedures as in the previous articles¹⁻³ were followed in obtaining the photocurrent and ESR as well as optical absorption spectra. Unless otherwise stated, the photocurrent was obtained by using the surface cell.

Photoconductivity

The photocurrent I_p depends on the polymer, on the metal salt, on the two ratios F_1 and F_2 , and on the wavelength of illumination. In PVA films, I_p is observed only when the films are prepared by using FeCl₃, FeBr₃, and CrCl₃. Figure 1 illustrates I_p of these films. As in PVA-CuCl₂ and PVA-CuBr₂ films,³ I_p consists of at least two components; one is a fast component that rises or falls quickly when light is turned on or off, and the other is a slow component. The fast component is rather weak and scarcely depends on the wavelength of illumination. The rather strong, slow component is observed only in the PVA-FeCl₃ film and appears to be related to the charge transfer band; hence we designate this component as I_{ct} . Figure 2 illustrates the wavelength dependence of I_p

TABLE I
Polymers Used

		Molecular weight	
Polymer	Symbol	(MW)	Manufacturer
Poly(vinyl alcohol)	PVA	$6 imes 10^4$	Koso Chemical Co. Ltd.
Poly(acrylic acid)	PAAc	$1 imes 10^5$	Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd.
Polyacrylamide	PAAm	5×10^{5}	Sumitomo Chemical Industry Co. Ltd.
Poly(vinyl pyrrolidone)	PVPr	3×10^{5}	Tokyo Kasei Kogyo Co. Ltd.
Polyethylene glycol	PEG	$6 imes 10^4$	Iwai Kagaku Co. Ltd.



Fig. 1. Photocurrent in PVA–FeCl₃, PVA–FeBr₃, and PVA–CrCl₃ films produced by illumination at indicated wavelengths. Incident light intensities correspond to nearly equal numbers of photons; applied electric field is E = 270 V/mm.

measured after 30 sec of illumination; I_p begins to increase at about 20×10^3 cm⁻¹. The observed increase is chiefly due to I_{ct} .

Contrary to the case of PVA–CuCl₂ and PVA–CuBr₂ films, I_{ct} is observed even when the Fe³⁺ concentration is as low as $F_1 = 0.002$, becoming zero when F_1 decreases further. Moreover, we have to distinguish two kinds of I_{ct} , since the response time of I_{ct} depends on F_1 , as shown in Figure 3. When $F_1 \ge 0.02$, I_{ct} increases with time very slowly and hardly exhibits saturation even after 20 min of illumination. When $F_1 \le 0.02$, on the other hand, I_{ct} rises rather quickly and is saturable. Since ESR spectra described below indicate that no interaction occurs among Fe³⁺ complexes when $F_1 \le 0.02$, we designate I_{ct} due to these monomeric Fe³⁺ complexes as $I_{ct,m}$ and mainly study it in this article. With increasing F_2 , $I_{ct,m}$ naturally decreases and becomes zero when $F_2 \ge 3$, for coagulation favors the occurrence of interactions among Fe³⁺ complexes.^{1,2}

To determine whether electrons or holes are responsible for the observed photocurrent, I_p was measured after illumination for 30 sec by using a sandwich cell in a longitudinal electric field.³ The result is shown in Figure 4. In the charge transfer bands, where I_p chiefly consists of $I_{ct,m}$, illumination of the positive electrode produces larger I_p than illumination of the negative electrode, i.e., $I_p^+ > I_p^-$.

We tried to measure I_p in PAAm, PAAc, and PEG films containing FeCl₃ complexes with $F_1 = 0.02$ and $F_2 = 0$, but no photocurrent was observed even when the films were directly illuminated with a 250-W high-pressure mercury arc. In the PVPr-FeCl₃ film, UV irradiation produced I_{ct} , but it was very small as compared with that in the PVA-FeCl₃ film.



Fig. 2. Photocurrent spectra after 30 sec of illumination of PVA-FeCl₃ films with indicated F_1 values and $F_2 = 0$; E = 360 V/mm.

Optical Absorption Spectra

Figures 5 and 6 show the optical absorption spectra of PVA films containing one of the Fe³⁺ salts, CrCl₃, MnCl₂, CoCl₂, or NiCl₂. No charge transfer band is observed in the region below 35×10^3 cm⁻¹ in PVA-CrCl₃, PVA-MnCl₂,



Fig. 3. Effect of F_1 on I_p in PVA-FeCl₃ film with $F_2 = 0$ produced by illumination at 370 nm; E = 360 V/mm.



Fig. 4. Photocurrent specta of PVA-FeCl₃ film with $F_1 = 0.02$ and $F_2 = 0$ for illumination of the positive (+) or negative (-) electrode of the sandwich cell for 30 sec.

PVA-CoCl₂, and PVA-NiCl₂; these transition metal ions are not easily photoreducible. In PVA-Fe³⁺ complexes, on the other hand, at least one characteristic peak or shoulder is observed between 25×10^3 cm⁻¹ and 35×10^3 cm⁻¹; PVA-FeBr₃ has the longest wavelength peak at about 25×10^3 cm⁻¹. As F_1 decreases and/or F_2 increases, the effect of anions gradually becomes vague, as illustrated in Figure 6; the spectra of the complex films with $F_1 = 0.002$ or less are almost similar to those of the complex solutions.

The spectra of PVA-Fe³⁺ complex solutions do not depend on the salt anions unless F_1 is very high. Figure 7 shows the F_2 dependence of the spectra; the absorption intensity around $(25-35) \times 10^3$ cm⁻¹ increases with F_2 . The potentiometric titration curve indicates the existence of a buffer region $0 \leq F_2 \leq$ 3; protons are dissociated from the PVA-FeCl₃ complex. The viscosity of the solution increases in the buffer region.

In PVA–Fe³⁺ films, UV irradiation changes the absorption spectra, as illustrated in Figure 8; illumination was by a 250-W high-pressure mercury arc with a Toshiba UVD2 filter. The two characteristic peaks around $(25–35) \times 10^3$ cm⁻¹ clearly decrease with UV dosage. In PVPr–FeCl₃, an analogous but slight change is also observed. The spectra of PAAm, PAAc, and PEG films containing FeCl₃, and PVA films containing CrCl₃, MnCl₂, CoCl₂, or NiCl₂, on the other hand, are scarcely affected by UV irradiation.

ESR Spectra

An ESR study is suitable for understanding the local environment of an Fe³⁺ ion, since it has the d^5 electron configuration. Figures 9 and 10 illustrate the influence of anions on the ESR spectra of PVA-Fe³⁺ films with $F_1 = 0.02$ and



Fig. 5. Optical absorption spectra: (a) PVA–CrCl₃, PVA–MnCl₂, PVA–CoCl₂, and PVA–NiCl₂ films ($F_1 = 0.02$ and $F_2 = 0$); (b) PVA–FeBr₃, PVA–Fe(ClO₄)₃, PVA–Fe(NO₃)₃, and PVA–Fe₂(SO₄)₃ films ($F_1 = 0.02$ and $F_2 = 0$).



Fig. 6. Optical absorption spectra of PVA-FeCl₃ films with indicated F_1 values and $F_2 = 0$.

 $F_2 = 0$. For Cl⁻, ClO₄⁻, and NO₃⁻ anions, two signals are observed; one is a single line at g = 4.3, and the other is a very broad background absorption with a shoulder at g = 10. For Br⁻ anion, the g = 6 signal is stronger than the g = 4.3signal. The ratio between these signals depends on the heat treatment; the g= 6 signal will disappear almost completely after prolonged, say, 45-min, heat treatment. For SO₄²⁻ anion, a g = 2 broad signal is observed in addition to the g = 4.3 signal. When the PVA-FeCl₃ film is dialyzed for 1 hr, the analogous g= 2 broad signal grows, while the g = 4.3 signal almost completely disappears.

Figure 9 also shows the F_1 dependence of the ESR spectra in the PVA-FeCl₃ film with $F_2 = 0$. With decreasing F_1 , the g = 4.3 signal becomes weak and narrow, and, at the same time, another signal seems to appear at g = 2. Note that the threshold for the appearance of the g = 4.3 signal in the ESR spectra is about $F_2 = 0.002$, which nearly coincides with that of $I_{ct,m}$ in the photocurrent. With increasing F_2 , a broad single line grows at g = 2, whereas the g = 4.3 signal gets smaller, disappearing almost completely when $F_2 = 1.5$. This g = 2 broad single line, illustrated in Figure 11, also appears in other PVA-Fe³⁺ films investigated when $F_2 > 0$.

The intensity of the g = 4.3 signal, together with the g = 10 shoulder in the PVA-FeCl₃ film with $F_1 = 0.002-0.05$ and $F_2 = 0$, is inversely proportional to the absolute temperature. The g = 2 broad single-line intensity of the film with $F_2 > 0$, on the other hand, decreases with lowering temperature, as shown in Figure 11; this decrease indicates that a strong exchange interaction exists among the complexes responsible for the signal.

Figure 12 shows the ESR spectra of the PVA-FeCl₃ film with $F_1 = 0.01$ and $F_2 = 0$ uniaxially stretched by 200% at about 80°C. The g = 4.3 signal is insen-



Fig. 7. Optical absorption spectra of PVA-FeCl₃ aqueous solutions with $F_1 = 0.01$ and indicated F_2 values.

sitive to the angle between the applied magnetic field and the stretching direction; this signal is isotropic. The broad signal with the shoulder at g = 10, on the other hand, depends on the angle α ; its intensity at $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ is smaller than that at $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$.

In the PVA-FeCl₃ film with $F_2 = 0$, UV irradiation at the charge transfer bands changes the ESR spectra, as illustrated in Figure 13. The irradiation produces a new triplet signal at g = 2, reducing the g = 4.3 signal. The production rate depends on F_1 ; it is fast when F_1 is small. When the irradiation is made at -100° C, a single broad signal first grows, and then the triplet signal appears, as shown in Figure 14. The g = 2 single broad signal is strong and slightly asymmetric at low temperatures; it becomes weak and narrow with rising temperature and is hardly detected above -20° C, as shown in Figure 15. UV irradiation also produces the g = 2 triplet signal in PVA-FeBr₃, PVA-Fe(ClO₄)₃, and PVA-Fe(NO₃)₃ films, as illustrated in Figure 16. However, more than three times the dosage is needed to obtain the same signal intensity as in the PVA-FeCl₃ film. Moreover, the g = 2 single broad signal could not be observed in these films, even at low temperatures. Note that the g = 2 triplet signal is asymmetric in the PVA-Fe(NO₃)₃ film.

The g = 4.3 signal is also observed in PAAm–FeCl₃ and PAAc–FeCl₃ films with $F_1 = 0.02$ and $F_2 = 0$. In PVPr–FeCl₃ and PEG–FeCl₃ films, on the other hand, the g = 2 broad signal is observed as in the PVA–Fe₂(SO₄)₃ film. In PAAm–FeCl₃, PAAc–FeCl₃, PVPr–FeCl₃, and PEG–FeCl₃ films, UV irradiation does not efficiently produce the g = 2 triplet signal.



Fig. 8. Effect of UV irradiation on optical absorption spectra of PVA–FeCl₃ film with $F_1 = 0.02$ and $F_2 = 0$.

DISCUSSION

Interpretation of ESR Spectra

There are many ESR studies of Fe³⁺ complexes in view of their importance in biochemistry. So far as we know, however, no systematic ESR study has been made for PVA-Fe³⁺ complexes in films. Following the treatment by Castner et al.,⁴ Griffith,^{5,6} Wickman et al.,⁷ Dowsing and Gibson,⁸ and Aasa,⁹ we shall



Fig. 9. ESR spectra of PVA-FeCl₃ films with indicated F_1 values and $F_2 = 0$.



Fig. 10. ESR spectra of PVA–FeBr₃, PVA–Fe₂(SO₄)₃, PVA–Fe(NO₃)₃, and PVA–Fe(ClO₄)₃ films with $F_1 = 0.02$ and $F_2 = 0$.

analyze the observed ESR spectra to elucidate the structure of the PVA-Fe³⁺ complexes. When the ligand field is weak, a single line appears at g = 2 because the ground state of a free Fe³⁺ ion is ${}^{6}S_{5/2}$ with a $3d^{5}$ electron configuration. Actually, the ligand field is strong, and the effective g-value largely depends on the symmetry of the complexes; three Kramers' doublets exist. The spin Hamiltonian is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{S} = g\beta S \cdot H + D\{S_{z}^{2} - \frac{1}{3}S(S+1)\} + E(S_{r}^{2} - S_{v}^{2})$$
(1)

where D and E are fine-structure constants.

Wickman et al.⁷ obtained the effective g-values of three crystal-field Kramers' doublets as a function of $\lambda = E/D$ by numerically solving the Hamiltonian given in eq. (1); $\lambda = 0$ represents the axial symmetry and $|\lambda| = \frac{1}{3}$ corresponds to the "complete" orthorhombic symmetry. More elaborate calculations have been made by Dowsing and Gibson⁸ and Aasa.⁹ These calculated results suggest the



Fig. 11. ESR spectra of PVA-FeCl₃ film with $F_1 = 0.01$ and $F_2 = 1.5$ at several temperatures.



Fig. 12. ESR spectra of PVA–FeCl₃ film with $F_1 = 0.01$ and $F_2 = 0$ uniaxially stretched ca. 200% at 80°C.

following interpretation: first, complexes with $|\lambda| = \frac{1}{3}$ produce the g = 4.3 signal with the g = 10 shoulder; secondly, complexes with $\lambda = 0$ produce the g = 6 signal; thirdly, the resonance field will be distributed broadly when $0 < |\lambda| < \frac{1}{3}$.

Structure of Fe³⁺ Complexes in Polymer Films

The above interpretation makes it possible to deduce the structure of PVA– Fe³⁺ complexes. In PVA–FeCl₃, PVA–Fe(NO₃)₃, and PVA–Fe(ClO₄)₃ films, the symmetry of the Fe³⁺ ion must be "completely" orthorhombic, since a g =4.3 signal is observed. As in the PVA–Cu²⁺ complexes,¹ there exists a relatively strong interaction between PVA and Fe³⁺, since most Fe³⁺ ions still remain in the film after the 1-hr dialysis. The ESR spectra of uniaxially stretched films shown in Figure 12 suggest that an Fe³⁺ ion is chelated with two in-plane OH



Fig. 13. Effect of UV irradiation on ESR spectra of PVA-FeCl₃ films with indicated F_1 values and $F_2 = 0$.



Fig. 14. ESR spectra of PVA-FeCl₃ film with $F_1 = 0.02$ and $F_2 = 0$ after UV irradiation at -100 °C for indicated periods.

residues of PVA.³ Because of the heat treatment, the three anions, Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, or ClO₄⁻, must exist in the first coordination sphere to compensate 3+ charges.³ Taking account of the fact that the coordination number is 6, we obtain Figure 17 as a probable configuration in the first coordination sphere; it is of the orthorhombic (C_{2v}) symmetry and has the three anions on the meridian.

In the PVA-FeBr₃ film, some complexes show the g = 6 signal and hence have uniaxial symmetry; others produces the g = 4.3 signal and hence are "completely" orthorhombic. With the uniaxial symmetry, two of the three Br⁻ ions occupy the ligand sites in the axial direction, whereas the remaining one is not located in the first coordination sphere. When the film is sufficiently heat treated, the remaining Br⁻ ion enters the first coordination sphere and the three Br⁻ ions are on the meridian; the symmetry becomes "completely" orthorhombic (C_{2v}). In the PVA-Fe₂(SO₄)₃ film, the molar ratio of Fe³⁺ to SO₄²⁻ ions is not an integer. We reasonably suppose that the complex does not have a well-defined structure or symmetry. The broad g = 2 signal is attributable to the widespread $|\lambda|$ between 0 and $\frac{1}{3}$.

The g = 4.3 signal in PAAm-FeCl₃ and PAAc-FeCl₃ films may suggest a complex structure similar to that in the PVA-FeCl₃ film. In the PVPr-FeCl₃ and PEG-FeCl₃ films, the rather large side chains may hinder the formation of the complex structure shown in Figure 17; these complexes have no well-defined symmetry, and hence the parameter $|\lambda|$ is widespread between 0 and $\frac{1}{3}$. The g = 2 broad signals are explained by this widespread $|\lambda|$ as in the PVA-Fe₂(SO₄)₃ film.

Dialysis appears to replace some Cl⁻ ions with OH⁻, making the symmetry of the first coordination sphere indefinite as in the $PVA-Fe_2(SO_4)_3$ complex.



Fig. 15. ESR spectra of PVA-FeCl₃ film with $F_1 = 0.02$ and $F_2 = 0$ obtained at indicated temperatures after UV irradiation at -100° C for 30 sec.

The replacement results in the disappearance of the g = 4.3 signal in the ESR spectra and of the two characteristic peaks or shoulders in the optical absorption spectra. Moreover, the resulting indefinite symmetry can explain the growth of the g = 2 broad signal as in the PVA-Fe₂(SO₄)₃ film.

The g = 4.3 signal must be due to the middle-energy Kramers' doublet, because the stretching of the film does not affect the signal; note that the effective gvalues in this Kramers' doublet g_x, g_y , and g_z have the same value 4.3 when $|\lambda| = \frac{1}{3}$, as is clear in Figure 5 of ref. 7. Either of the lowest or highest Kramers' doublet has an effective g-value $g_y \approx 10$ or $g_z \approx 10$. Because of its temperature variation, we have to assign the g = 10 shoulder to the lowest one; it is anisotropic when the film is stretched as shown in Figure 12. This anisotropy suggests the proposed complex structure where two OH residues occupy the in-plane ligand sites.³

Mechanism of the Photoconduction

The UV absorption bands are known to be charge transfer bands, where an electron is transferred from the ligands to a metal ion. Namely, UV irradiation causes the following reduction reaction:

$$PVA-Fe^{3+} \cdot 3Cl^{-} \xrightarrow{h_{\nu}} PVA-Fe^{2+} \cdot 2Cl^{-} \cdot Cl^{0}$$
(2)

Usually, chlorine atoms extract hydrogens from polymer molecules to produce alkyl radicals, which show the g = 2 triplet signal in the ESR spectra.³ In the PVA-FeCl₃ film, however, there exists another process than the formation of



Fig. 16. ESR spectra of PVA-FeCl₃, PVA-FeBr₃, PVA-Fe(ClO₄)₃, and PVA-Fe(NO₃)₃ films with $F_1 = 0.02$ and $F_2 = 0$ after UV irradiation at room temperature.

alkyl radicals; this process forms the unpaired electron responsible for the g = 2 single broad signal shown in Figures 14 and 15. Since the signal exhibits motional narrowing, the produced unpaired electron must move here and there. We tentatively assign the signal to the alkoxy radical associated with the OH residue of the PVA¹⁰ because of the following three reasons: first, the signal width is relatively broad; secondly, the signal becomes asymmetric at low temperatures; and thirdly, it is unstable at room temperature. The chlorine atom extracts an electron from the oxygen of a neighboring OH residue.

The unpaired electron thus produced can move from one oxygen to another through hydrogen bonding, as illustrated in Figure 18. After moving some distance, the unpaired electron will become stabilized by forming an alkyl radical. In view of solid-state physics, we can say that the photoconductivity is due to



Fig. 17. Probable structure of PVA-FeCl₃ complex with $F_2 = 0$.



Fig. 18. Proposed mechanism of photoconductivity. Holes are produced in the network of PVA hydrogen bonding by the reduction of Fe^{3+} .

holes produced in the network of PVA hydrogen bonding by the reduction of Fe^{3+} . This conclusion is consistent with the dependence of $I_{ct,m}$ on the polarity of the illuminated electrode, i.e., $I_{ct,m}^+ > I_{ct,m}^-$.

The g = 4.3 signal becomes broader with increasing F_1 as shown in Figure 9. The width appears to be due to the magnetic dipolar interaction because of the following three reasons: first,¹¹ the width is proportional to the square root of F_1 ; secondly, a similar broadening is observed even in PVA–Fe(NO₃)₃ and PVA–Fe(ClO₄)₃ where exchange interaction is hardly considered to be operative; finally, the temperature dependence of the signal intensity is independent of F_1 . In PVA–CuCl₂ and PVA–CuBr₂ films, on the other hand, only the exchange interaction via intervening halide ions is effective in transporting holes through the films. Since this mechanism can be regarded as an impurity conduction in solid-state physics, the photocurrent rises slowly as compared with that in the PVA–FeCl₃ film. The transportation of carriers must be partly assisted by the exchange interaction even in the PVA–FeCl₃ film when F_1 is as large as 0.02 or more. The assistance can explain the lowered production rate of alkyl radicals illustrated in Figure 13 and the prolonged rise time of the photocurrent shown in Figure 3.

In this way, I_{ct} depends on the production rate of mobile unpaired electrons as well as their Schubweg. The production rate critically depends on the efficiency of transferring the excitation energy from the complex to the polymer itself. Hence, the structure of the outer coordination sphere is as important as that of the inner coordination sphere. The simple atomic ligands, Cl⁻ or Br⁻, are more favorable for the transformation than the molecular ligands, NO_3^- , SO_4^{2-} and ClO_{4}^{-} . In fact, NO_{3}^{-} appears to capture the produced unpaired electron¹² and to prohibit the excitation energy in the complex from transferring to the polymer; even the simple atomic ligand, Br⁻, is not enough for the appearance of the photoconductivity. Note that the chlorine atom is more effective in extracting hydrogen than the bromine atom.¹³ Other first transition metal ions investigated are less reducible than Fe³⁺; reverse reaction, i.e., oxidation of the metal ions, must occur before excited energy is transferred from the complex to the polymer and hence no photocurrent is observed. When carriers are transported in the polymer itself, its electronic structure must influence the photocurrent. In the PAAc-FeCl₃ film, no photocurrent is observed, though the coordination sphere of the complexes appears to be similar to that of the PVA-FeCl₃ film. This suggests that carbonyl residues are malignant to the transportation of carriers, because holes are probably trapped on the carbonyl oxygens.

References

1. O. Sumita, A. Fukuda, and E. Kuze, J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Phys. Ed., 16, 1801 (1978).

2. O. Sumita, A. Fukuda, and E. Kuze, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 23, 2279 (1979).

3. O. Sumita, A. Fukuda, and E. Kuze, J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Phys. Ed., 18, 877 (1980).

4. T. Castner, Jr., G. S. Newell, W. C. Halton, and C. P. Slichter, J. Chem. Phys., 32, 668 (1960).

5. J. S. Griffith, Mol. Phys., 8, 213 (1964).

6. J. S. Griffith, Mol. Phys., 8, 217 (1964).

7. H. H. Wickman, M. P. Klein, and D. A. Shirley, J. Chem. Phys., 42, 2113 (1965).

8. R. D. Dowsing and J. F. Gibson, J. Chem. Phys., 50, 294 (1969).

9. R. Aasa, J. Chem. Phys., 52, 3919 (1970).

10. F. J. Adrian, E. L. Cocharan, and V. A. Bowers, in Free Radicals in Inorganic Chemistry, R.

F. Gould, Ed., American Chemical Society, Washington DC, 1962, p. 50.

11. J. H. Van Vleck, Phys. Rev., 1178 (1937).

12. W. A. Bernhard, D. M. Close, J. Hüttermann, and H. Zehner, J. Chem. Phys., 67, 1211 (1977).

13. M. Okada and K. Makuuchi, Kogyo Kagaku Zasshi, 73, 1211 (1970) (in Japanese).

Received October 10, 1980 Accepted November 13, 1980

1674